Project Name: CALPADS				
OCIO Project #: 6110-92	_	Team I	Member to	Pro
Department: CDE		. Gaiii i		
Reporting Period: From: To:				Mana
Current Task St	 ummarv			
Surem rask of	annina y	1	1	
Task or Deliverable		Scheduled Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Issu
Accomplished this week				
Planned/Scheduled Completion in Next Two Weeks				
Status Summary	Yes/No		Explanation	
Status Summary Will all assigned tasks be accomplished by their due date?	Yes/No		Explanation	
Will all assigned tasks be accomplished by their due date?	Yes/No		Explanation	
	Yes/No		Explanation	

Status of Assigned Issues

	sue Number	Description	Due Date	Status
--	------------	-------------	----------	--------

CA-PMM Project Name: CALPADS		
OCIO Project #: 6110-92 Department: CDE		Team Member to Project
Reporting Period: From:	То:	<u> </u>

Project Name:	CALPADS

OCIO Project #: 6110-92

Department: CDE

Reporting Period: *From:* 7/1/09 *To:* 7/31/09

Project Manager to Sponsor

Current Status Report

Questions	Yes/No	Cause	Impact	Action Required
Were recent milestones completed on schedule?	No	Number of open UAT defects were not low enough to continue on current schedule	Potential impact to production go- live date.	Extended UAT schedule by 4 weeks. Discussed impact with CDE Executives. Maintained initial production go-live on 8/31/09. Moved Fall 1 functions to 10/5/09.
Were any key milestones or deliverables rescheduled?	Yes	Number of open UAT defects were not low enough to continue on current schedule	Extended UAT schedule by 4 weeks. Discussed impact with CDE Executives. Maintained initial production go-live on 8/31/09. Moved Fall 1 functions to 10/5/09.	Continue to monitor UAT progress and assess progress daily
3. Was work done that was not planned?	No			
4. Were there any changes to scope?	No			
5. Were tasks added that were not originally estimated?	No			
6. Were any tasks or milestones removed?	No			
7. Were any scheduled tasks not started?	No			
8. Are there any new major issues?	Yes	DTS backup approach requirements were not communicated to vendor via RFP requirements. Insufficient storage has become an issue in Prod and Pre-prod environments.	environment available storage	Working vendor to acquire additional storage capacity to support CALPADS and DTS backup requirements
9. Are there any staffing problems?	No			

PM to Sponsor (2) Page 3 of 12

Project Name:	CALPADS				
OCIO Project #:	6110-92				Due 's at Management & Oncome
Department:	CDE				Project Manager to Sponsor
Reporting Period:	From:	7/1/09	То:	7/31/09	

Look Ahead View

Questions	Yes/No	Impact	Action Required
Will upcoming critical path milestones or deliverables be delayed?	No		
Do any key milestones or deliverables need to be rescheduled?	No		
3. Is there any unplanned work that needs to be done?	No		
Are there any expected or recommended changes to scope?	Yes	Integration of CALPADS pre-ID process with Assessment Vendor systems to increase data integrity	Completed cost and schedule analysis. Requested funding for enhanced functionality was not included in the 2009-10 budget.
5. Are there any tasks not originally estimated that will need to be added?	No		
Are there any tasks or milestones that should be removed from the plan?	No		
7. Are there any scheduled tasks whose start will likely be delayed?	No		
8. Are any major new issues foreseeable?	Yes	Depending on progress, the UAT schedule may be extended further to ensure complete testing.	
Are any staffing problems anticipated?	No		

PM to Sponsor (2) Page 4 of 12

Project Name: CALPADS

OCIO Project #: 6110-92

Department: CDE

Reporting Period: *From:* 7/1/09 *To:* 7/31/09

Project Manager to Sponsor

Current Status and Accomplishments:

Describe deliverables completed and milestones met during this reporting period.

Conducted User Acceptance Test (UAT) weekly checkpoint meetings with participating LEAs

Continued UAT testing and defect resolution activities

Completed pre-production environment set up

Conducted initial system performance tests and analyzed the results

Project Milestones:

List key milestones and their dates from the project schedule.

Milestone	Target Date	Forecast Date	Status	Cause & Impact to Implementation Date	Date Completed
Project Start-up	6/12/08		Completed	Actual project start delayed 15 days and deliverable final approvals required additional time to obtain. No impact to implementation date.	11/7/08
Systems Analysis and Confirmation (Gap Analysis)	5/30/08		Completed	Stakeholder review and confirmation required additional time. No impact to implementation date.	8/29/08
Systems Design	5/27/09	8/15/09	In progress	Stage 1 and Stage 2 functional design are complete except for one functional area. This area was related to the CALPADS Phase II planning approach waiting to confirm funding. Funding was not included in the May 2009 revised budget, therefore, CALPADS Phase II will not be implemented in 2010-11 as anticipated.	
Data Conversion Software Development	1/16/09	7/30/09	In progress	Schedule aligns with Fall 1 implementation. Latest	

PM to Sponsor (2) Page 5 of 12

Project Name: CALPADS

OCIO Project #: 6110-92

Department: CDE

Reporting Period: *From:* 7/1/09 *To:* 7/31/09

Project Manager to Sponsor

Systems Development	1/12/09	7/28/09	In progress	Development schedule will align with Implementation stage approach. Contract amendment will be developed to reflect internal adjustments in milestone approach and schedule. No impact to implementation date.
Systems and Integration Testing	2/18/09	10/9/09	In progress	Being conducted in two phases in line with implementation stages. Contract amendment will be developed to reflect internal
Pilot User Acceptance Testing (UAT)	7/31/09	12/3/09	In progress	UAT divided into 2 phases in line with implementation stages. Contract amendment will be developed to reflect internal adjustments in milestone approach and
Implementation – Stage 1	6/29/09	12/21/09	In progress	Adminstration and Fall 1 functinoality will be delivered in two step implementation.
Stage 1 Production Implementation	8/31/09	12/21/09	Not started	Adminstration and Fall 1 functinoality will be delivered in
Implementation – Stage 2	12/21/09	6/30/10	Not started	Early design results determined end of year data
Stage 2 Production Implementation	2/26/10	6/30/10	Not started	No impact

Variances

Check the appropriate box for each project element listed below. Please describe the actions you plan to take for those items marked "Caution" or "Significant Variance".

	On Plan <5%	Caution 5-10%	Significant Variance >10%	Action Required
Schedule		Х		Contract amendment will be developed to reflect internal adjustments in milestone approach and schedules

PM to Sponsor (2) Page 6 of 12

Project Name: CALPADS

OCIO Project #: 6110-92

 Department:
 CDE

 Reporting Period:
 From:
 7/1/09
 To:
 7/31/09

Project Manager to Sponsor

Milestones		Х	Contract amendment will be developed to reflect internal adjustments in milestone approach and schedules
Deliverables		X	Continue focus to complete open deliverables and obtain stakeholder approvals.
Resources	X		
OneTime Cost	X		
Continuing Cost	Х		

PM to Sponsor (2) Page 7 of 12

Project Name: CALPADS

OCIO Project #: 6110-92

Department: CDE

Reporting Period: *From:* 7/1/09 *To:* 7/31/09

Project Manager to Sponsor

PM to Sponsor (2) Page 8 of 12

Project Name: CALPADS				
OCIO Project #: 6110-92				Sponsor to Executive
Department: CDE				Committee
Reporting Period: From:	7/1/09	To:	7/31/09	Committee

Summary Milestones and Highlights

Project Milestones: List key milestones and their dates from the project schedule. Explain in issues section if a milestone's status is behind. Milestone Target Date Forecast Date Status If Delayed, Impact to Implementation Date Date Completed

Variances

Check the appropriate box for each project element listed below. Please describe the actions you plan to take for those items marked "Caution" or "Significant Variance".

* Priority of schedule, scope, budget, and quality from Final Ranking established in the Priority Analysis

	On Plan <5%	Caution 5-10%	Significant Variance >10%	Action Required
Schedule		Х		Contract amendment will be developed to reflect internal adjustments in milestone approach and schedules
Milestones		Х		Contract amendment will be developed to reflect internal adjustments in milestone approach and schedules
Deliverables		Х		Continue focus to complete open deliverables and obtain stakeholder approvals.
Resources	X			
One Time Cost	Х			
Continuing Cost	Х			

Sponsor to Exe Comm Page 9 of 12

Project Name:	CALPADS			
OCIO Project #:	6110-92			
Department:	CDE			
Reporting Period:	From:	7/1/09	To:	7/31/09

Sponsor to Executive Committee

Monitoring Vital Signs Scorecard

Vital Sign	Variance	Value	Your Score	Score Justification
	High Degree of Buy-In	0	O	
Customer Buy-In	Medium Degree of Buy-In	1	Green	
	Low Degree of Buy-In	2	Ď	
	Strong Viability	0	o	
Technology Viability	Medium Viability	1	Green	
	Weak Viability	2	ă	
	<5%	0	~	
3. Status of the Critical Path (delay)	5% to 10%	1	1 Yellow	
	>10%	2	W	
	<5%	0	0	
4. Cost-to-Date vs. Estimated Cost-	5% to 10%	1	Green	
to-Date (higher)	>10%	2	3	
5 18 1 B 1 1 18 1 18 1 1	0 to 3	0	0	
5. High-Probability, High-Impact Risks	4 to 6	1	Green	
RISKS	>6	2	Ä	
6. Unresolved Issues	On time	0	0	
(on time resolution)	Late with no impact	1	Green	
	Late impacting the critical path	2	3	
	Fully engaged	0	0	
7. Sponsorship Commitment	Partially engaged	1	Green	
	Inadequate engagement	2	3	
	Strong alignment	0	0	
8. Strategy Alignment	Partial alignment	1	Green	
	Weak or no alignment	2	en	
	Strong	0		
9. Value-to-Business	Medium	1	Gree 0	

Sponsor to Exe Comm Page 10 of 12

Project Name: CALPADS

OCIO Project #: 6110-92

Department: CDE

Reporting Period: *From:* 7/1/09 *To:* 7/31/09

Sponsor to Executive Committee

Weak 2

Sponsor to Exe Comm Page 11 of 12

Project Name:	CALPADS				
OCIO Project #:	6110-92				Sponsor to Executive
Department:	CDE				Committee
Reporting Period:	From:	7/1/09	To:	7/31/09	Committee

10. Vendor Viability (provide	Strong	0		G	
rationale for the rating in the field	Medium	1	0	Green	
following the scorecard)	Weak	2	ā		
44 Milestone Lit Dete	>90% on time	0	_		
11. Milestone Hit Rate (rate of achievement as planned)	80-90% on time		2	Red	
(rate of achievement as planned)	<80% on time	2	2 Rec		
42 Deliverable Lit Dete	>90% on time	0			
12. Deliverable Hit Rate (rate of production as planned)	80-90% on time	1		Rec	
(rate of production as planned)	<80% on time	2	-		
	>90% assigned and available	0		0	
13. Actual vs. Planned Resources	80-90% assigned and available	1	Green		
	<80% assigned and available	2	Ď		
4.4 Occartions I Itilization	<15%	0		G	
14. Overtime Utilization (% of effort that is overtime)	15-25%	1	Green O		
(% or enort that is overtime)	>25%	2	ň		
	Highly Effective	0		ဝ	
15. Team Effectiveness	Moderately Effective	1	0	Gree	
	Ineffective	2		Ď	
		Total	5	G	

Green = 0 - 8 Yellow = 9 - 19Red = 20 +

Vendor Viability Rating Rationale

Company size, team size, senior management commitment, staff experience level, and depth of resources available to the project.

Sponsor to Exe Comm Page 12 of 12